TRAINING PROVIDER: SWEET Center / University of Texas at Arlington

COURSE #:	<u>APTI 444</u>	TITLE:	Air Pollution Field Enforcement
DATES:	<u>June 14 - 16, 2005</u>	LOCATION:	Oklahoma DEQ, Oklahoma City, OK

STUDENT BACKGROUND

1. Work discipline:

a) Engineering = 06 b) Environmental/Technical = 15 c) Administrative = 00 d) Other = Geologist/Env Specialist = 01

2. Present employer:

a) State agency = 19 b) Local agency = 00 c) Federal agency= 01 d) Tribal=00 d) Other =

3. Experience in the air pollution control field:

a) 0 – 1 yr= 07 b) 2 – 5 yrs= 08 c) 5 - 10 yrs =03 d) Over 10 = 02

4. In present position:

a) 0 - 1 yr=06 b) 2 - 5 yrs= 08 c) 5 - 10 yrs= 02 d) Over 10 yrs= 04

- 5. Principal duties:
 - a) Permitting=05 b) Monitoring=06 c) Compliance=10 d) Enforcement=10
 - e) Other= Inspector= 01

INSTRUCTOR / COURSE EVALUATION

Rate on a scale of 5 to 1, with 5 being the best

Circle one number for each question	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor
INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	5	4	3	2	1
1: William (Bill) Franek					
1a) Communication & presentation skills?	5=17	4=03			
1b) Knowledge of topics?	5=16	4=04			
1c) Response to student questions?	5=17	4=02	3=01		
1d) Professional demeanor?	5=18	4=02			
2: Louis DeRose					
2a) Communication & presentation skills?	5=16	4=03			
2b) Knowledge of topics?	5=14	4=03			
2c) Response to student questions?	5=15	4=02	3=02		
2d) Professional demeanor?	5=16	4=01	3=01	2=01	
Other comments					
COURSE CONTENT	5	4	3	2	1
a) Course objectives clearly stated or written?	5=13	4=04	3=02		
b) Was time sufficient for information presented?	5=10	4=06	3=02	2=02	
c) Usefulness of information learned?	5=11	4=04	3=05		
d) Impact to your professional growth?	5=10	4=08	3=03		
e) Clarity level of information presented was	5=08	4=07	3=05	2=01	
Other comments:					
FACILITY / SUPPORT	5	4	3	2	1
a) Quality of printed materials?	5=12	4=04	3=05		
b) Organization of materials?	5=11	4=04	3=05		
c) Quality of equipment used?	5=11	4=06	3=02		
d) Classroom / Facility environment?	5=12	4=06	3=02		
Other comments: b) Photo's of slides quality could have been better.					

PLEASE CONTINUE EVALUATION ON BACK OF THIS PAGE

- 1. Would you recommend this course to your supervisor and/or peers? Why or why not? 1) Yes, very relevant. (5)

 - 2) Yes, very helpful to new employees (5)
 - 3) Yes, course outlined and well organized.
 - 4) Yes, valuable information about legal system, process etc.
 - 5) Yes, has real world experiences (2)
 - 6) No, not relative to permitting.
 - 7) No, only for those with less than one year's experience.
- 2. What are the strengths of this course?
 - 1) Relevant & up-to-date.
 - 2) Knowledge /presentations of instructors (8)
 - 3) Broad overview
 - 4) Insight into legal issues.
 - 5) Best course of many I attended. Skill of instructors kept your interest even during uninteresting materials.
- 3. What changes should be made to improve this course, such as the addition, or exclusion of specific topics, other training methods, exercises, etc.?
 - 1) Shorten first session.
 - 2) Hands on training.
 - 3) Post test question #20 is not relative to course materials or subject.
 - 4) Include updated materials in manuals.
- 4. Other general comments:
 - 1) Some printed materials were hard to read.
 - 2) Instructors were very good.
 - 3) Enjoyed the course.
 - 4) Enforcement process & judicial process was very well explained.
- 5. How did you learn of this course?
 - 1) Employer/Agency= 07
 - 2) Internet/Websites = 03
 - 3) Required training =
 - 4) Other: From State Inspector = 1

Optional student Information:

NAME:		 	
EMPLOYER:			
PHONE #:			
E-MAIL ADDRESS	:		

Thank you for completing this evaluation form. Your suggestions and comments are an important tool to assist us in meeting your training needs both now and in the future.