ORAL ARGUMENT NOT YET SCHEDULED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

)
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, et al.)
Petitioners,)
v.)
) Case No. 15-1363
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL)
PROTECTION AGENCY, and REGINA A.)
McCARTHY, Administrator, United States)
Environmental Protection Agency)
Respondents.)
	_)
LUMINANT GENERATION COMPANY))
LLC, OAK GROVE MANAGEMENT)
COMPANY LLC, BIG BROWN POWER)
COMPANY LLC, SANDOW POWER)
COMPANY LLC, BIG BROWN LIGNITE)
COMPANY LLC, LUMINANT MINING)
COMPANY LLC, and LUMINANT BIG)
BROWN MINING COMPANY LLC) Case No. 15-1386
	(consolidated with No.
Petitioners,) 15-1363 and other
) consolidated cases)
v.)
)
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL)
PROTECTION AGENCY and GINA)
McCARTHY, Administrator, United States)
Environmental Protection Agency)
)
Respondents.	_)

USCA Case #15-1363

Filed: 10/30/2015

<u>LUMINANT PETITIONERS'</u> RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS TO STAY

Luminant Generation Company LLC, Oak Grove Management Company LLC, Big Brown Power Company LLC, Sandow Power Company LLC, Big Brown Lignite Company LLC, Luminant Mining Company LLC, and Luminant Big Brown Mining Company LLC (collectively, "Luminant Petitioners"), the Petitioners in Case No. 15-1386 (consolidated under Lead Case No. 15-1363), file this response in support of the six motions to stay the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") Final Rule¹ at issue in this case pending completion of judicial review.² Luminant Petitioners submitted a declaration in support of the Utility Air Regulatory Group's motion for stay of EPA's Final Rule detailing the immediate and irreparable harm caused by the Final Rule to Luminant Petitioners.³ Luminant Petitioners also filed extensive comments addressing the legal flaws in

_

¹ Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Sources: Electric Generating Units, 80 Fed. Reg. 64,662 (October 23, 2015) ("Final Rule").

² The six motions were filed by the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America in Case No. 15-1382 (Doc. No. 1580020), the National Mining Association in Case No. 15-1367 (Doc. No. 1580004), the State of Oklahoma in Case No. 15-1364 (Doc. No. 1580577), the State of North Dakota in Case No. 15-1380 (Doc. No. 1580920), the State of West Virginia in Case No. 15-1363 (Doc. No. 1579999), and the Utility Air Regulatory Group in Case No. 15-1370 (Doc. No. 1580014).

³ Case No. 15-1370, Doc. No. 1580014, at 665–88 (Oct. 23, 2015).

EPA's proposed rule.⁴ For the reasons set out in Luminant Petitioners' declaration and comments and the pending motions to stay, Luminant Petitioners support the motions and request that the Court stay the Final Rule.

Dated: October 30, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ P. Stephen Gidiere III
Counsel for Petitioners

Filed: 10/30/2015

Counsel for Petitioners:

P. Stephen Gidiere III Thomas L. Casey III Julia B. Barber Balch & Bingham LLP 1901 6th Ave. N., Ste. 1500 Birmingham, Alabama 35203 205-251-8100 sgidiere@balch.com Stephanie Z. Moore Vice President and General Counsel Luminant Generation Company LLC 1601 Bryan Street 22nd Floor Dallas, Texas 75201 Daniel J. Kelly
Vice President and
Associate General Counsel
Energy Future Holdings
Corp.
1601 Bryan Street
43rd Floor
Dallas, Texas 75201

⁴ Comments of Luminant Generation Company LLC on the Proposed Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Generating Units, EPA-HQ-OAR-2013-0602-33559 (Dec. 1, 2014).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 30, 2015, one copy of Luminant Petitioners' Response in Support of Motions to Stay was served electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system on all registered counsel.

/s/ P. Stephen Gidiere III
Counsel for Petitioners